STRUCTURAL STUDIES OF HALOGEN ADDUCTS OF SOME CYCLIC SELENIDES AND TELLURIDES BY ¹H, ¹³C, ⁷⁷Se AND ¹²⁵Te NMR. EVIDENCE FOR THE FORMATION OF MOLECULAR COMPLEXES OF SELENOXANTHONE AND SELENANTHRENE WITH BROMINE*

WARŌ NAKANISHI,† YASUHIRO YAMAMOTO AND SATOKO HAYASHI

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Education, Wakayama University, Sakaedani, Wakayama 640, Japan

HIDEYUKI TUKADA‡ AND HIIZU IWAMURA†,§

Division of Applied Molecular Science, Institute for Molecular Science, Myodaiji, Okazaki 444, Japan

¹H, ¹³C, ⁷⁷Se and ¹²⁵Te NMR spectra were recorded for selenoxanthone (1a), telluroxanthone (2a), selenanthrene (3a), related compounds and their halogen adducts to elucidate the structure of the adducts in solutions. Although selenides react with bromine to give trigonal bipyramidal (TB) hypervalent complexes in general, 1a reacted with bromine to yield a molecular complex (MC). Its chlorine adduct was found to be a TB. The effective electronegativity of selenium in 1a may be modulated by conjugation with the strongly electron-withdrawing carbonyl group. In the case of 2a, its halogen adducts were TBs. The structure of the bromine adduct of 3a was also demonstrated to be an MC from NMR data.

INTRODUCTION

Ethers, sulphides, selenides and tellurides react with halogens to give trigonal bipyramidal (TB) adducts or molecular complexes (MCs). $^{2.3}$ TBs are formed, in general, if the electronegativity of the halogen ligands (χ_X) is larger than that of the Group VIB elements (χ_Z) in the compounds. The adducts are MCs when χ_X is less than χ_Z [equation (1)]. 4

This generalization holds good in most cases, but there are some exceptions. Selenides react with bromine to give TBs, 2,3c,e but the bromine adducts of sulphides are well demonstrated to be MCs; 2,3b the MC structure of sulphide dibromides is the opposite of expectation, because the electronegativity 4,5 of bromine ($\chi_{Br} = 2 \cdot 74$) is larger than those of sulphur ($\chi_{Se} = 2 \cdot 44$) and selenium ($\chi_{Se} = 2 \cdot 48$).

$$R-Z-R' + X_2 \longrightarrow R' \longrightarrow R' Z - X_2 \qquad (1)$$

 $TB(\chi_X > \chi_Z)$ $MC(\chi_X < \chi_Z)$

M (χ_X) F(4·10)		C1(2·83)	Br(2·74)	I(2·21)	
O (3·50)		MC	MC	MC	
S (2·44)	ТВ	ТВ	MC	MC	
Se (2·48)	TB	ТВ	ТВ	MC	
Te (2·01)	ТВ	TB	ТВ	ТВ	

^{*} For a preliminary contribution, see Ref. 1.

[†] Authors for correspondence.

[‡] Present address: Department of Chemistry, College of Arts and Sciences, University of Tokyo, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 153, Japan.

[§] Present address: Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, University of Tokyo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113, Japan.

Since the electronegativity of selenium is very close to that of sulphur^{4,5} and the bromine adducts of sulphur are MCs,^{2,3b} MCs of selenides with bromine should exist if the effective electronegativity of the selenium atom in a given compound is high with respect to the neighbouring substituents. This idea led us to look for some selenides that react with bromine to yield MCs.

Here we report that (i) selenoxanthone (1a) reacts with bromine to give an MC (1d), although telluroxanthone (2a) gives TBs with chlorine, bromine and iodine, and (ii) selenanthrene (3a) also yields an MC (3d) with bromine.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

¹³C chemical shifts

Before discussing the structures of the halogen adducts, it is instructive to extend the scope of the criteria obtained in the preceding paper² for distinguishing MCs from TBs for acyclic systems on the basis of the NMR data

¹³C NMR spectra were measured for selenoxanthone (1a), telluroxanthone (2a), selenanthrene (3a), phenoxselenine (4a), phenoxtellurine (5a) and their chlorine, bromine and iodine adducts (e.g. 1c, 1d and 1e, respectively) and selenoxanthone selenium oxide (1f) and selenanthrene diselenium dioxide (3f). ⁷⁷Se and ¹²⁵Te NMR spectra were also recorded for 1a, 2a, 4a, 5a and their halogen adducts. The results are shown in Tables 1–4.

Table 2. ¹³C NMR chemical shifts of selenanthrene (3a), its halogen adducts and selenanthrene diselenium dioxide (3f)^{a,b}

C-1	C-2	C-3	Structure
134 · 4	131 · 1	127.9	Selenide
0.0	0.0	0.0	
$-2 \cdot 1$	-0.2	1.9	MC
-0.5	0 · 1	0.3	MC
10.3	-2.6	3 · 3	Selenoxide
	134·4 0·0 -2·1 -0·5	134·4 131·1 0·0 0·0 -2·1 -0·2 -0·5 0·1	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

a In CDCls.

$$Ar_2ZX_2$$
: $X=-$ Cl Br I
a c d e

As shown in Table 3, large downfield shifts were observed for C-4 carbons of the chlorine and bromine adducts (4c and 4d, respectively) of phenoxselenine (4a of $6\cdot0-6\cdot2$ ppm and of chlorine, bromine and iodine adducts (5c, 5d, and 5e, respectively) of phenoxtellurine (5a) of $4\cdot2-4\cdot5$ ppm relative to those of 4a and 5a, respectively. These results correspond with a TB structure of the adducts, although the downfield shifts of the C-1 carbons are smaller than those in diphenyl derivatives (by 7-9 ppm). Such smaller shifts for the C-1 carbons may be due to the cyclic structure of 4a and 5a, since a similar trend has been observed in TB

Table 1. ¹³C NMR chemical shifts of selenoxanthone (1a), telluroxanthone (2a), their halogen adducts and selenoxanthone selenium oxide (1f) a,b

Compound	C-1	C-2	C-3	C-4	C-5	C-6	C=O	Structure
1a	134.9	128 · 1	132.0	126.6	131 · 2	130.8	181 · 7	Selenide
1c	7.8	0.4	2.7	6 · 1	0.9	-2.1	-1.9	ТВ
1d 1e	- 0 · 1	0·2 0·1	0·1 0·1	0·1 0·1	0·2 0·1	0.0	0 · 1	MC MC
1f	7.7	1.4	2.0	5.4	-0.9	0 · 1	0.3	Selenoxide
2a	121·8 0·0	134·2 0·0	131·7 0·0	127·4 0·0	131·7 0·0	133·6 0·0	185·7 0·0	Telluride
2c	16.4	-0.6	1.2	4.4	-1.7	0.1	2.8	ТВ
2d	12.7	-0.1	1 · 4	3.8	$-1\cdot5$	0.5	2.4	TB
2e	8 · 7	0.3	2.9	3 · 4	1.2	1.0	1.7	TB

^a In CDCl₃ for selenoxanthones and in DMSO-d₆ for telluroxanthones.

Not observed owing to broadening.

^b Chemical shifts (ppm) of 3a are given from TMS and those of the derivatives from 3a.

^bChemical shifts (ppm) are given from TMS for 1a and 2a and from their parent compounds for the derivatives.

Compound	C-1	C-2	C-3	C-4	C-5	C-6	Structure
4a	116.2	129 · 3	124.9	128 · 1	118.6	152.9	Selenide
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
4c	4 · 4	-0.1	0.8	6.2	1.3	- 5 • 3	TB
4d	0.7	0.5	1 · 1	6.0	1.3	-4.8	TB
4e	-0.9	0 · 1	0 · 1	0.5	0 · 1	-0.3	MC
5a	103 · 9	135 · 1	125.2	128.7	118.9	155.2	Telluride
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
5c	15.3	-1.3	-0.7	4.5	0.0	-4.1	TB
5d	11.3	-0.7	- 0.5	4.5	-0.1	-3.7	TB
5e	5.5	0.2	-0.3	4.2	-0.2	-2.8	TB

Table 3. ¹³C NMR chemical shifts of phenoxselenine (4a), phenoxtellurine (5a) and their halogen adducts ^{a,b}

Table 4. ⁷⁷Se and ¹²⁵Te NMR chemical shifts of **1a**, **2a**, **4a**, **5a** and their halogen adducts

Compound	$\delta(^{77}Se)^a$	$\Delta\delta$	Compound	$\delta(^{125}\text{Te})^b$	$\Delta\delta$
lac	334.7	0.0	2a°	471.5	0.0
ld	336-7	2.0	2d	572 • 4	100.9
4a°	260.6	0.0	5a°	432-3	0.0
4c ^c	381.7	121-1	5c°	628-6	196.3
			5d°	598 • 4	166 · 1
4e ^c	263 · 4	2.8	5e°	549 · 1	116.8

^a In CDCl₃ (reference: MeSeMe in CDCl₃).

c Ref. 2.

formation from selenoxanthone (1a) and telluroxanthone (2a), as will be described later.

Large upfield shifts for C-6 carbons are characteristic of TB formation from 4a and 5a. The strong electronegative selenodihalo and tellurodihalo groups may contribute to the upfield shifts since the chemical shifts of *ortho* carbons in substituted benzenes bearing electronegative groups usually move upfield. ⁶ The extra interaction between the selenodihalo or tellurodihalo group and the oxygen atom must also play an important role.

On the other hand, chemical shifts of the iodine adduct (4e) are not very different from those of 4a; the chemical shifts for C-1 and C-4 in 4e are 0.9 ppm upfield and 0.5 ppm downfield respectively, relative to those of 4a. The chemical shift data reflect the MC structure of 4e.

These results show that the criteria obtained in the preceding paper² for distinguishing MCs from TBs on the basis of NMR data are also valid for cyclic systems. The chemical shifts of C-4 carbons serve as good measures of the distinction; those of C-1 carbons are also useful if they are examined carefully.

We are now ready to discuss the structure of the halogen adducts of 1a-3a. As shown in Table 1, the signals of C-1 and C-4 in the chlorine adduct (1c) of selenoxanthone (1a) appeared 7.8 and 6.1 ppm, respectively, downfield from those of 1a, indicating the TB structure of 1c. The strong electron-withdrawing ability of the selenodichloro group in 1c becomes apparent if the chemical shifts of 1c are compared with those of the selenoxide 1f. The C-1 and C-4 chemical shifts of 1f from those of 1a are 7.7 and 5.4 ppm, respectively, which are very close to those for 1c, although the structure of 1c is planar (or TB), but 1f is tetrahedral. The structure of the iodine adduct (1e) must be an MC, since the chemical shifts of 1e are almost equal to those of 1a. In particular, the chemical shifts of C-1 and C-4 in 1e are only 0.1 ppm upfield and downfield, respectively, of those of 1a. Such small shift differences between 1e and 1a should correspond to the large dissociation constant for 1e.^{2,7} The electron transfer from the lone pair of electrons of the selenium atom to the σ^* -orbital of iodine in 1e may not be so effective.8

In the case of the bromine adduct 1d, the C-4

^a In CDCl₃ for phenoxselenines and in DMSO-d₆ for phenoxtellurines.

^b Chemical shifts (ppm) are given from TMS for 4a and 5a, and from their parent compounds for the adducts.

^b In DMSO-d₆ (reference: MeTeMe in C₆D₆).

chemical shift is only 0.1 ppm downfield of that of 1a and other shift values are almost equal to those of 1a and 1e, although the signals of C-1, C-6 and carbonyl carbons could not be detected owing to broadening. These results show that the structure of the bromine adduct 1d must be an MC. The signals of C-2, C-3, C-4 and C-5 were also broad; the half-width values were 1.6, 3.0, 3.1 and 2.0 Hz, respectively, at 45 °C. The rate of the dissociation process may not be very fast relative to the 13 C NMR time scale.

When two or more atoms of initially different electronegativity are combined chemically, the electronegativities will be more or less neutralized within the molecule. 5b The effective (or adjusted) electronegativity of selenium in la becomes larger, because the electronegativity of oxygen ($\chi_0 = 3.50$) is much larger than that of selenium $(\chi_{Se} = 2.48)$. The electron density of the lone pairs on the selenium atom in 1a is expected to be smaller and its ionization potential 9 larger, since the carbonyl group withdraws electron strongly by both through-bond and throughspace mechanisms. The effective electronegativity of selenium in 1a may no longer be small enough to give a TB in the reaction with bromine. 1,10 The MC structure of 1d is consistent with the ineffective electron transfer in 1e discussed above.

It is worth noting that on heating, the dark-red needles of 1d decolorized at ca 125 °C and melted at 188–190 °C. The melting point is similar to that of the parent, 1a (191–192 °C). The decolorized material was identified with 1a by NMR, IR and m.p. data. These results may support the MC structure of 1d, and its behaviour is different from that of diphenyl selenide dibromide (TB), in which bromination of benzene rings occurs on heating. 11

The alternative structure (1c') for 1c is very unlikely because the observed 13 C chemical shift at δ 179·8 and the IR spectrum at 1662 cm⁻¹ should be assigned to the carbonyl group of 1c (1634 cm⁻¹ for 1a).

The structure of halogen adducts of telluroxanthone (2a) was also examined. Large downfield shifts were

observed for C-1 $(8\cdot7-16\cdot4 \text{ ppm})$ and C-4 $(3\cdot4-4\cdot4 \text{ ppm})$ of the chlorine, bromine and iodine adducts (2c, 2d and 2e, respectively) relative to those of 2a. These observations show that the halogen adducts, 2c, 2d and 2e, are all TBs. The shifts of the C-1 carbons depend on the electronegativity of the halogens and they are smaller than those of diphenyl derivatives by ca 7 ppm, which was also observed in the formation of TBs from 4a and 5a.

The structure of the bromine adduct (3d) of selenanthrene (3a) has also been demonstrated to be an MC in solutions. As shown in Table 2, the signals for C-1 and C-3 of the iodine adduct 3e, which is an MC, appeared 0.5 ppm upfield and 0.3 ppm, downfield, respectively, relative to those of 3a. The chemical shift differences between 3d and 3a are -2.1 and 1.9 ppm, respectively, which indicates an MC structure for 3d. The larger shift differences of 3d from 3a may be due to the smaller dissociation constant and the larger electron transfer in 3d.

Although ¹³C NMR spectra could not be recorded for the tetrachloride **3c** since the chlorine adduct of **3a** was very sparingly soluble in typical organic solvents (the chlorine adduct obtained may not be **3c**), the chemical shifts of **3c**, if it existed, could be estimated from those of selenanthrene diselenium dioxide (**3f**). The chemical shifts of the *ipso* and *para* carbons of diphenyl selenoxide are very close to those of diphenyl selenide dichloride, ¹² and must be so in compounds **1c** and **1f** (Table 1). The chemical shifts of C-1 and C-4 of **3f** are 10·3 and 3·3 ppm downfield of those of **3a**, i.e. different from the case of **3d** and **3e**. These results are well explained by assuming that the structure of the bromine adduct **3d** is an MC, in addition to that of **3e**.

The TB structure has been demonstrated for the tetrachlorides, 6c, ¹³ 7c, ¹⁴ and 8c, ^{15,16} respectively, of 1,4-diselenane (6a), bis(phenylseleno)methane (7a) and 1,2-bis(phenylseleno)benzene (8a). The dibromide (8d') ¹⁶ of 8a is also proposed to be a TB. Since 6a assumes a chair conformation, the distances between the chlorine atoms in 6c may be long enough for the formation of a di-TB. The four C—Se bonds in 7a and 8a rotate easily to escape the steric repulsion when the halogen atoms approach the selenium atoms. In the case of selenanthrene (3a), it cannot offer an appropriate conformation for a TB structure when halogen atoms attack the selenium atom(s) potentially to give a TB, because of its rigid boat conformation. ¹⁷

⁷⁷Se and ¹²⁵Te chemical shifts

While the formation of TBs in the reaction of the usual selenides or tellurides with halogens causes large downfield shifts 2,3c,e in the 77 Se (ca 100–450 ppm) and 125 Te (ca 100–750 ppm) NMR spectra, the values are small if the adducts are MCs (less than ca 10 ppm). As shown in Table 4, the 77 Se chemical shift of 1d is only $2\cdot0$ ppm larger (downfield) than that of 1a, similarly to the case of the MC of 4e, the chemical shift of which is $2\cdot8$ ppm larger than that of 4a.

An MC structure of the bromine adduct 1d is confirmed, again based on the ⁷⁷Se chemical shifts. The chemical shift of 1d relative to 1a is less than 10 ppm, in addition to the case of 4e. The ⁷⁷Se and ¹²⁵Te chemical shifts support the conclusion that other halogen adducts in Table 4, 2d, 4c, 5c, 5d and 5e, are TRs

It is noteworthy that the 125 Te chemical shift difference between the bromine adduct 2d and 2a ($\Delta\delta$ 101 ppm) is significantly smaller than that between the bromine adduct 5d and 5a ($\Delta\delta$ 166 ppm). This can be explained by assuming that the electron transfer from the tellurium atom to bromine is more difficult in 2d than in 5d. This observation is consistent with the fact that the bromine adduct 1d is no longer a TB but an MC.

CONCLUSION

This present work has illustrated how a subtle structural change controls the stability of either a TB or an MC over the other in solution. A study of the structures of bromine adducts such as 1d and 3d and the chlorine adduct of 3a in solids is in progress.

EXPERIMENTAL

Spectral measurement. The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were measured on a JEOL FX-60Q spectrometer operating at 60 and 15 MHz, respectively. The ⁷⁷Se and ¹²⁵Te NMR spectra were recorded on a JNM GX-400 spectrometer (JEOL) operated at 76 and 126 MHz, respectively. The conditions for measurements were almost identical with those in the preceding paper. ² IR spectra were recorded on a Hitachi 295 infrared spectrometer.

Compounds. Selenoxanthone (1a), 18 its selenium

oxide (1f), ¹⁹ telluroxanthone (2a), ²⁰ selenanthrene diselenium dioxide (3f), ²¹ phenoxselenine (4a), ²² phenoxtellurine (5a) ²³ and their halogen adducts ^{18,20,22} were prepared following literature procedures. The physical properties agreed well with those in the literature.

Selenoxanthone dichloride (1c). Excess of chlorine gas was bubbled through a dichloromethane solution (30 ml) of $1a^{18}$ (500 mg, $1\cdot 9$ mmol) to give a pale yellow precipitate. Recrystallization from dechloromethane—hexane yielded pale yellow prisms, almost quantitatively; m.p. (decomp.) $205-220^{\circ}\text{C}$. Found, C $47\cdot 07$, H $2\cdot 24$; calculated for $C_{13}H_8\text{Cl}_2\text{OSe}$, C $47\cdot 30$, H $2\cdot 44\%$. H NMR, $\delta(\text{CDCl}_3)$ $7\cdot 6-8\cdot 0$ (6H, m), $8\cdot 5-8\cdot 8$ (2H, m); 1R (KBr), 1662 cm^{-1} ($\nu_{\text{C}=\text{O}}$).

Selenoxanthone dibromide (**1d**). To dichloromethane solution (30 ml) of 1a¹⁸ (500 mg, 1.9 mmol) was added bromine (340 mg, 2.1 mmol) in carbon tetrachloride (2.5 ml), giving a dark red precipitate. Careful recrystallization dichloromethane-hexane yielded dark red needles (83%), which decolorized at ca 125 °C and melted at 188-190°C (cf. m.p. of 1a, 191-192°C). Found, C 37.31, H 1.56; calculated for C₁₃H₈Br₂OSe, C 37.27, H 1.92%. ¹H NMR, δ (CDCl₃) 7.3—7.7 (6H, m), 8.5-8.8 (2H, m); IR (KBr), 1658 cm^{-1} ($\nu_{C=O}$). The decolorized material was 1a and the ¹H NMR spectrum of 1d was almost identical with that of 1a.

Telluroxanthone diiodide (2e). To a chloroform solution (10 ml) of $2a^{20}$ (100 mg, 0·33 mmol) was added iodine (99 mg, 0·39 mmol) in chloroform (5 ml), giving a reddish brown precipitate. After washing with hexane several times, 2e (95%) was obtained, m.p. (decomp.) 215–216 °C. Found, C 27·5, H 1·6; calculated for C₁₃H₈I₂OTe, C 27·8, H 1·4%. ¹H NMR, δ(DMSO- d_6) 7·5–7·9 (4H, m), 8·2–8·6 (4H, m).

Selenanthrene (3a) was prepared by an improved method based on the literature. ²⁴ In the course of the preparation, sodium diselenide was prepared from selenium and sodium borohydride in ethanol ²⁵ containing sufficient aqueous sodium hydroxide not to evolve H₂Se. ^{12,25} (An adequate amount of sodium borohydride should be used; there is a typographical error in the amount reported earlier. ¹²)

REFERENCES

- W. Nakanishi, Y. Yamamoto, Y. Kusuyama, Y. Ikeda and H. Iwamura, Chem. Lett. 675 (1983).
- W. Nakanishi, S. Hayashi, H. Tsukada and H. Iwamura, J. Phys. Org. Chem. 3, 358-368 (1990).

- (a) O. Hassel and J. Hvoslet, Acta Chem. Scand. 8, 873 (1954);
 (D. Hassel and K. O. Stroømme, Acta Chem. Scand. 13, 1775 (1959);
 (b) G. Allegra, G. E. Wilson, Jr, E. Benedetti, C. Pedone and R. Allbert, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 92, 4002 (1970);
 (c) D. L. Klayman and W. H. H. Günther (Eds), Organic Selenium Compounds: Their Chemistry and Biology, Wiley, New York (1973);
 (d) K. J. Irgolic, The Organic Chemistry of Tellurium, Gordon and Breach, New York (1974);
 (e) S. Patai and Z. Rappoport (Eds), The Chemistry of Organic Selenium and Tellurium Compounds, Vol. 1. Wiley, New York (1986).
- M. C. Baenziger, R. E. Buckles, R. J. Maner and T. D. Simpson, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 91, 5749 (1969).
- (a) A. L. Allred and E. G. Rochow, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 5, 264 and 269 (1958); (b) R. T. Sanderson, Chemical Bonds and Bond Energy, 2nd ed. Academic Press, New York (1976).
- 6. J. B. Stothers, Carbon-13 NMR Spectroscopy, Chap. 5. Academic Press, New York (1972).
- J. D. McCullough, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 64, 2672 (1942);
 N. W. Tideswell and J. D. McCullough, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 79, 1031 (1957).
- (a) R. S. Mulliken, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 72, 600 (1950); 74,
 (1952); (b) S. P. McGlynn, Chem. Rev. 58, 1113 (1958).
- W. Nakanishi, S. Masuda, T. Ishida, K. Ohno and Y. Harada, J. Org. Chem. 54, 540 (1989).
- W. Nakanishi, S. Matsumoto, Y. Ikeda, T. Sugawara, Y. Kawada and H. Iwamura, *Chem. Lett.* 1353 (1981); W. Nakanishi, Y. Ikeda and H. Iwamura, *Org. Magn. Reson.* 20, 117 (1982).
- 11. W. E. Bradt and J. F. Green, J. Org. Chem. 1, 540 (1937).

- W. Nakanishi and Y. Ikeda, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 56, 1661 (1983).
- A. Amendola, E. S. Gould and B. Post, *Inorg. Chem.* 3, 1199 (1964).
- W. Nakanishi and S. Hayashi, 52nd CSJ National Meeting, Kyoto, 1986, Abstracts, p. 1352 (1 V 45).
- 15. N. Petragnani and V. G. Toscano, *Chem. Ber.* 103, 1652 (1970).
- W. Nakanishi, Y. Okumura and K. Sasaki, 58th CSJ National Meeting, Tanabe, 1989, Abstracts, p. 1334 (1 III A 03).
- J. E. Crackston and R. G. Wood, Nature (London) 142,
 257 (1938); R. G. Wood and G. Williams, Nature (London) 150, 321 (1942).
- 18. R. Lesser and R. Weiss, Chem. Ber. 47, 2510 (1914).
- 19. R. Lesser and R. Weiss, Chem Ber. 57B, 1077 (1924).
- W. Lohner and K. Praefcke, J. Organomet. Chem. 205, 167 (1981); I. D. Sadekov, A. A. Ladatko and V. I. Minkin, Khim. Geterotsikl. Soedin. 10, 1342 (1980).
- 21. F. Krafft and A. Kashau, Chem. Ber. 29, 443 (1896).
- 22. H. D. K. Drew, J. Chem. Soc. 511 (1928).
- H. D. K. Drew, J. Chem. Soc. 223 (1926); H. D. K. Drew and R. W. Thomason, J. Chem. Soc. 116 (1927).
- S. Keimatsu and I. Satoda, J. Pharm. Soc. Jpn. 55, 233 (1935).
- D. L. Klayman and T. S. Griffin, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 95, 197 (1973).
- N. P. Luthra and J. D. Odom, in *The Chemistry of Organic Selenium and Tellurium Compounds*, edited by S. Patai and Z. Rappoport, Vol. 1, Chap. 6. Wiley, New York (1986).